

Summary of “Spin” session DIS 2011, Newport News



Hall A

Hall B

Hall C


* pdfs and the longitudinal spin structure

* TMDs and the transverse spin structure


3D picture in the (x, \vec{k}_T) space

* GPDs and the spin sum rule

3D picture in the (x, \vec{b}_T) space



inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2



$$Q^2 = -q^2 = 4EE' \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^T - \sigma_{3/2}^T}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \frac{g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2}{F_1}$$


$$A_2 = \frac{2\sigma^{LT}}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \gamma \frac{g_1 + g_2}{F_1}.$$

Unpolarized case {
$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_{Mott} \left[\frac{1}{\nu} F_2(x, Q^2) + \frac{2}{M} F_1(x, Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right]$$

Polarized case {
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma_{LL}(x, Q^2)}{dx dQ^2} &= \frac{8\pi\alpha^2 y}{Q^4} \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{y^2}{4}\gamma^2 \right) g_1(x, Q^2) - \frac{y}{2}\gamma^2 g_2(x, Q^2) \right] \\ \frac{d^3\sigma_{LT}}{dxdy d\phi} &= -h_l \cdot \cos\phi \cdot \frac{4\alpha^2}{Q^2} \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{1 - y - \frac{\gamma^2 y^2}{4}} \\ &\times \left(\frac{y}{2} g_1(x, Q^2) + g_2(x, Q^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$g_1(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x, Q^2).$$

inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2



$$Q^2 = -q^2 = 4EE' \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^T - \sigma_{3/2}^T}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \frac{g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2}{F_1}$$

$$A_2 = \frac{2\sigma^{LT}}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \gamma \frac{g_1 + g_2}{F_1}.$$

Unpolarized case {


$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_{Mott} \left[\frac{1}{\nu} F_2(x, Q^2) + \frac{2}{M} F_1(x, Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right]$$

Polarized case {


$$\frac{d^2\sigma_{LL}(x, Q^2)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{8\pi\alpha'}{Q^4}$$

$$\frac{d^3\sigma_{LT}}{dxdy d\phi} = -h \times \left(\frac{y}{2} \right)$$

$$g_1(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x, Q^2)$$



inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2



$$Q^2 = -q^2 = 4EE' \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2M\nu}$$

Unpolarized case $\left\{ \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \sigma_{Mott} \left[\frac{1}{\nu} F_2(x, Q^2) + \frac{2}{M} F_1(x, Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right] \right.$

$$A_1 = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^T - \sigma_{3/2}^T}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \frac{g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2}{F_1}$$

$$A_2 = \frac{2\sigma^{LT}}{\sigma_{1/2}^T + \sigma_{3/2}^T} = \gamma \frac{g_1 + g_2}{F_1}.$$

Polarized case $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d^2\sigma_{LL}(x, Q^2)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{8\pi\alpha^2 y}{Q^4} \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{y^2}{4}\gamma^2 \right) g_1(x, Q^2) - \frac{y}{2}\gamma^2 g_2(x, Q^2) \right] \\ \frac{d^3\sigma_{LT}}{dxdy d\phi} = -h_l \cdot \cos \phi \cdot \frac{4\alpha^2}{Q^2} \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{1 - y - \frac{\gamma^2 y^2}{4}} \\ \quad \times \left(\frac{y}{2} g_1(x, Q^2) + g_2(x, Q^2) \right). \end{array} \right.$

twist-2

higher twist




$$g_2(x, Q^2) = g_2^{\text{WW}}(x, Q^2) + \bar{g}_2(x, Q^2)$$

$$g_2^{\text{WW}}(x, Q^2) = -g_1(x, Q^2) + \int_x^1 g_1(y, Q^2) \frac{dy}{y}$$




$$g_1(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x, Q^2).$$

inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2

- Vincent Sulkovsky (Hall A)-




$$g_2 \approx -g_1 \Rightarrow \sigma_{LT} \approx 0 \text{ in } \Delta \text{ region}$$





inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2



- Hovhannes Baghdasaryan (Hall C) -




➤ Small Q^2 dependence



➤ Non-zero Asymmetry (2%)
 ➤ In some kinematics ranges A_{80} is about 20% of A_{180}






semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering



test of the factorization

- Hamlet Mkrtchyan -



- * D^-/D^+ ration evaluated from pion cross section ratio
- * fragmentation functions do not depend on x (as expected)
- * depend on z , in agreement with HERMES and EMC results

quark helicities

- Josh Rubin -


Assuming:

Charge conjugation
symmetry of fragmentation
functions:

$$D_q^{h^+} = D_{\bar{q}}^{h^-}$$

On the Deuteron:

$$A_{1d}^{h^+ - h^-}(x) = \frac{\Delta u_v + \Delta d_v}{u_v + d_v}(x) \quad (\text{Under leading order, leading twist, current fragmentation assumptions})$$



Different models with different assumptions. Good agreement.



quark helicities

- Josh Rubin -


Assuming:

Charge conjugation symmetry of fragmentation functions:

$$D_q^{h^+} = D_{\bar{q}}^{h^-}$$


On the Deuteron:

$$A_{1d}^{h^+ - h^-}(x) = \frac{\Delta u_v + \Delta d_v}{u_v + d_v}(x) \quad (\text{Under leading order current fragmentation})$$



Different models with different assumptions

$$A_1^{h(p/d)}(x, z, Q^2) \approx \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x, Q^2) D_q^h(z, Q^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x, Q^2) D_q^h(z, Q^2)}$$



Unpolarized PDF: MRST04
F.F: DSS parametrization, $\Delta s = \Delta \bar{s}$

PLB 693(2010)227

$$\Delta s(\text{SIDIS}) = -0.01 \pm 0.01(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{syst}) \quad @ \quad 0.003 < x < 0.3$$

- Tentative extraction of $R_{SF} = D_s^K / D_u^K$ from K multiplicities
→ better constrain Δs obtained from SIDIS



- Claude Marchand -

semi-inclusive double spin asymmetries


- Josh Rubin -

$$A_1^h = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^h - \sigma_{3/2}^h}{\sigma_{1/2}^h + \sigma_{3/2}^h}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_q e_q D_q^h(z, p_{h\perp}) \Delta q(x)}{\sum_{q'} e_{q'} D_{q'}^h(z, p_{h\perp}) q'(x)}$$

Each x-bin		0.2 < z < 0.35	0.35 < z < 0.5	0.5 < z < 0.9
0 < p_{h\perp} < 0.3				leading
0.3 < p_{h\perp} < 0.5				
0.5 < p_{h\perp} < 1.0	mid-rapidity			

Highest energy hadron &
influenced by fewest $q\bar{q}$ pairs



No significant $p_{h\perp}$ dependence observed

semi-inclusive double spin asymmetries

- Josh Rubin -


$$A_1^h = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^h - \sigma_{3/2}^h}{\sigma_{1/2}^h + \sigma_{3/2}^h}$$

$$\text{LO } = \sum_q e_q D_q^h(z, p_{h\perp}) \Delta q(x)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_q e_q D_q^h(z, p_{h\perp}) q'(x)}{\sum_{q'} e_{q'} D_{q'}^h(z, p_{h\perp}) q'(x)}$$

Each x-bin		0.2 < z < 0.35	0.35 < z < 0.5	0.5 < z < 0.9
0 < p_{h\perp} < 0.3				leading
0.3 < p_{h\perp} < 0.5				
0.5 < p_{h\perp} < 1.0	mid-rapidity			

Highest energy hadron &
influenced by fewest q̄q pairs




- Sucheta Jawalkar-


quark helicities from W production in pp

- Rusty Towell -


Proton helicity = "+"




Proton helicity = "-"



Proton helicity = "+"





Proton helicity = "-"




- * W probes sea and valence quark spin
- * W couple only left-handed quarks with right-handed anti-quarks
- * W program is underway

$$A_L^{W^+} = -\frac{\Delta u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) - \Delta \bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)}{u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + \bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)}$$



probing the sea through W production

- Joe Seele -



$$u + \bar{d} \rightarrow W^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu$$

$$\bar{u} + d \rightarrow W^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}$$


- Detect Ws through e^+ and e^- decay channels
- V-A coupling leads to perfect spin separation
- Neutrino helicity gives preferred direction in decay

Measure parity violating single helicity asymmetry A_L
(Helicity flip in one beam while averaging over the other)

$$A_L^{W^-} \propto -\Delta d(x_1)\bar{u}(x_2) + \Delta\bar{u}(x_1)d(x_2) \quad A_L^{W^+} \propto -\Delta u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + \Delta\bar{d}(x_1)u(x_2)$$

J. Seele (MIT) for the STAR Collaboration - DIS 2011

* with expected 300 pb⁻¹ program
STAR will provide strong constraints
on the polarized sea pdf




STAR Run 9 Result

$$A_L(W^+) = -0.27 \pm 0.10(stat) \pm 0.02(syst)$$


$$A_L(W^-) = 0.14 \pm 0.19(stat) \pm 0.02(syst)$$

arXiv:1009.0326

TMDs and the 3D image of the nucleon: (x, \vec{k}_T)





$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma^h}{dx dy d\phi_S dz d\phi d\mathbf{P}_{h\perp}^2} = & \frac{\alpha^2}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \\
 \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 & [F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} \\
 & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon \cos(2\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)}] \\
 + & \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{LU}^{\sin(\phi)} \right] \\
 + & S_L \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)} \right] \\
 + & S_L \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi)} \right] \\
 + & S_T \left[\begin{aligned}
 & \sin(\phi - \phi_S) (F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)}) \\
 & + \epsilon \sin(\phi + \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} + \epsilon \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi-\phi_S)} \\
 & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_S)} \\
 & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_S)} \end{aligned} \right] \\
 + & S_T \lambda_l \left[\begin{aligned}
 & \sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} \cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi-\phi_S)} \\
 & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_S)} \\
 & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi-\phi_S)} \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$



Sivers effect


$$\propto f_{1T}^\perp(x, p_T^2) \otimes D_1(z, k_T^2)$$

- correlation between parton transverse momentum and nucleon transverse polarization
- requires orbital angular momentum



Collins effect



- $\propto h_1(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^\perp(z, k_T^2)$
- correlation between parton transverse polarization in a transversely polarized nucleon and transverse momentum of the produced hadron




Sivers and Collins effects

- Kalyan Allada (Hall A)-


- * previous measurements for pions and kaons from  
- * Collins and Sivers effects observed
- * new results from Hall A
- * consistent with zero collins amplitude
 - * kinematical suppressed at JLAB kinematics
- * hint for non-zero Sivers effect for π^+
 - * along with proton and deuteron data will help to constrain the d-quark Sivers DF



Cahn and Boer-Mulders effects




$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma^h}{dx dy d\phi_S dz d\phi d\mathbf{P}_{h\perp}^2} = & \frac{\alpha^2}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon \cos(2\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)} \end{array} \right. & \\
 + \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{LU}^{\sin(\phi)} \right] & \\
 + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)} \right] & \\
 + S_L \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi)} \right] & \\
 + S_T \left[\sin(\phi - \phi_S) \left(F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \right) \right. & \\
 + \epsilon \sin(\phi + \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_S)} + \epsilon \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi-\phi_S)} & \\
 + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_S)} & \\
 \left. + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_S)} \right] & \\
 + S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} \cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi-\phi_S)} \right. & \\
 + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_S)} & \\
 \left. + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi-\phi_S)} \right] & \}
 \end{aligned}$$




$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} \propto [f_1 \otimes D_1 + h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + \dots] / Q$$

$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)} \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + [f_1 \otimes D_1 + \dots] / Q^2$$

- Cahn effect:




- Boer-Mulders effect: Boer-Mulders TMD



Cahn and Boer-Mulders effects

- Marco Contalbrigo -


- Christian Schill -



$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} \propto [f_1 \otimes D_1 + h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + \dots] / Q$$

$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)} \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + [f_1 \otimes D_1 + \dots] / Q^2$$


- * role of sea quarks
- * strange Collins FF
- * higher-twist effects



gluon polarization

$$S_N = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L_q + L_g$$

- Fabienne. Kunne -



- * open charm production
- * $\gamma^* g \rightarrow c\bar{c} \Rightarrow$ reconstruct D⁰ mesons

- * high p_T hadron production
- * $\gamma^* g \rightarrow q\bar{q} \Rightarrow$ reconstruct 2 jets or h⁺h⁻

More abundant channels

p p $\rightarrow \pi^0 X$ **PHENIX**
 p p \rightarrow jet X **STAR**

3 processes contribute

$\Delta G(x_1) . \Delta G(x_2)$
 $\Delta G(x_1) . \Delta q(x_2)$
 $\Delta q(x_1) . \Delta q(x_2)$

Other channels

p p \rightarrow jet jet proj. STAR 500 GeV, low x




gluon polarization




- Claude Marchand -

- Krzysztof Kurek -

- Matt Walker -




- Amaresh Datta -




DIS 2011, Newport News, USA

17



- Pibero Djawotho -



- Ami Rostomyan & Oleg Eyser -





gluon polarization




- Claude Marchand -

- Krzysztof Kurek -


- Matt Walker -




- Amaresh Datta -



- Pibero Djawotho -



GPDs and the 3D image of the nucleon: (x, \vec{b}_T)



- Sensitivity of different final states to different GPDs
- For spin-1/2 target 4 chiral-even leading-twist quark GPDs: $H, E, \tilde{H}, \tilde{E}$
- H, \tilde{H} conserve nucleon helicity, E, \tilde{E} involve nucleon helicity flip
- DVCS (γ) $\rightarrow H, E, \tilde{H}, \tilde{E}$
- Vector mesons (ρ, ω, ϕ) $\rightarrow H, E$
- Pseudoscalar mesons (π, η) $\rightarrow \tilde{H}, \tilde{E}$




S. Yaschenko, DVCS with the HERMES Recoil Detector

3



deeply virtual Compton scattering



Beam-Charge Asymmetry

Beam-Spin Asymmetry

Transverse Target-Spin Asymmetry

Transverse Double-Spin Asymmetry


Longitudinal Target-Spin Asymmetry

Longitudinal Double-Spin Asymmetry

+ BCA and BSA on nuclear targets



deeply virtual Compton scattering

- Aram Movsisyan -





deeply virtual Compton scattering

- Aram Movsisyan -



deeply virtual Compton scattering



- Aram Movsisyan -



- * associated cannot be resolved
- * associated is part of the signal


deeply virtual Compton scattering

- Aram Movsisyan -




- * associated cannot be resolved
- * associated is part of the signal


deeply virtual Compton scattering



- * detection of recoil proton
- * suppression of the background to < 1% level





deeply virtual Compton scattering





- * more DVCS data from HERMES with recoil data
- * ongoing analysis on DVCS on He⁴ in Hall B
- * preliminary results from Hall B on NH³

$p \rightarrow e^+ p \gamma$

✓ $\langle x_B \rangle \approx 0.21, \langle Q^2 \rangle \approx 2.15 \text{ GeV}^2$



SUMMARY




Thanks to the organizers and all the 60 speakers

back up

pion charge asymmetry difference

- Marco Contalbrigo -



Mild flavor dependence of k_T expected


From A_{UT} : Collins favored ($u \rightarrow \pi^+$) and unfavored ($u \rightarrow \pi^-$) fragmentation opposite in sign

With u -dominance
Collins makes the difference !
Hint of non-zero Boer-Mulders

$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} \propto [f_1 \otimes Q_1 + h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + \dots] / Q$$

$$\sigma_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)} \propto h_1^\perp \otimes H_1^\perp + [f_1 \otimes Q_1 + \dots] / Q^2$$


Phys. Lett. B 693 (2010) 11-16






- Christian Schill -

beam spin asymmetries



$A_{\sin \phi}^{LU}$: twist-3 effect due to beam polarization


- ▶ h^+ positive asymmetry
- ▶ h^- small asymmetry, compatible with zero








- Christian Schill -

beam spin asymmetries



$A_{\sin \phi}^{LU}$: twist-3 effect due to beam polarization

- ▶ h^+ positive asymmetry
- ▶ h^- small asymmetry, compatible with zero











- Christian Schill -


beam spin asymmetries

Jefferson Lab ^{p3}


- Sucheta Jawalkar (Hall B)-



- Morgan Murray-






Real Part follows a/Q
with $a=1.11 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}$
as expected!





Imaginary Part follows bQ
with $b=0.34 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
(fit has no basis in theory)

- Morgan Murray-




Real Part follows
with $a=1.11 \pm 0.03$
as expected!



Existence established to 20σ (integrated extraction)
Magnitude of U_{11} is 2.5x smaller than T_{00}

inclusive hadron asymmetries



$$A_N = \frac{1}{P} \frac{\sigma_L - \sigma_R}{\sigma_L + \sigma_R}$$

Possible Expansion:

$$A_N = \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}} \propto f_{1T}^{\perp} \otimes D_1 + \delta q \otimes H_1^{\perp} + \dots$$


Sivers Function
(angular momentum)

Sivers Effect




Transversity
(structure)

Collins Function
(Fragmentation)





Collins Effect



inclusive hadron asymmetries




inclusive hadron asymmetries



- Sucheta Jawalkar -

$$+ S_L \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)} \right]$$

$$\propto h_{1L}^\perp(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^\perp(z, k_T^2)$$





- * significant $\sin(\phi)$
- * negligible $\sin(2\phi)$
- * consistent with HERMES results

$$H_1^{\perp, \text{unfav}}(z) \approx -H_1^{\perp, \text{fav}}(z)$$


two photon exchange

- Todd Averett (Hall A) -


$$A_y(Q^2) = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow}$$



At low Q^2 , entire nucleon is involved





At large Q^2 , assume interaction with a single quark




two photon exchange

- Todd Averett (Hall A) -


$$A_y(Q^2) = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow}$$




At low Q^2 , entire nucleon is involved



At large Q^2 , assume interaction with a single quark





HERMES Proton




two photon exchange

$$A_y(Q^2) = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow}$$



At low Q^2 , entire nucleon is involved


At large Q^2 , assume interaction with a single quark




quasi elastic

DIS


HERMES Proton



Preliminary ${}^3\text{He}$ Target Single Spin Asymmetry



Preliminary Results
Neutron




inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2

- Nilanga Liyanage (Hall A)-

$$\Gamma_2(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \ g_2(x, Q^2) = 0$$

H.Burkhardt and W.N. Cottingham
Annals Phys. **56** (1970) 453.

- Sum-rule satisfied for the leading twist part (g_2^{WW}) by definition; so if there is any violation, it is all due to higher-twist



$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2 &= \Gamma_2^{res} + \Gamma_2^{el} + \Gamma_2^{DIS} \\ &= \Gamma_2^{res} + \Gamma_2^{el} + \Gamma_2^{WW,DIS} + \bar{\Gamma}_2^{DIS} \end{aligned}$$

Known part unknown part

inclusive scattering - g_1, g_2


- Nilanga Liyanage (Hall A)-

$$\Gamma_2(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx g_2(x, Q^2) = 0$$

H.Burkhardt and W.N. Cottingham
Annals Phys. **56** (1970) 453.


- Sum-rule satisfied for the leading twist part (g_2^{WW}) by definition; so if there is any violation, it is all due to higher-twist

- Γ_2^n , evaluated without the higher-twist part from DIS is clearly not zero below $Q^2 \sim 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$.
- If we assume BC sum-rule as valid, can extract the higher twist part of Γ_2 : positive and large, may be as large as Γ_2^{WW} .




$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2 &= \Gamma_2^{res} + \Gamma_2^{el} + \Gamma_2^{DIS} \\ &= \Gamma_2^{res} + \Gamma_2^{el} + \Gamma_2^{WW,DIS} + \overline{\Gamma}_2^{DIS}\end{aligned}$$

Known part unknown part




exclusive π^0 production

✓ Both photons in IC:




✓ Both photons in EC:



$$A=a \cdot \sin \phi + b \cdot \sin 2\phi$$

✓ One photon in EC, second in IC:




exclusive vector meson production

- Bohdan Marianski -

$$|T_{00}| \sim |T_{11}| \gg |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| \gtrsim |T_{1-1}|,$$

- Morgan Murray-

* SDME method




exclusive vector meson production

- Bohdan Marianski -


$$|T_{00}| \sim |T_{11}| \gg |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| \gtrsim |T_{1-1}|,$$

- Morgan Murray-


* SDME method



* helicity amplitude ratio method



TMDs and the 3D image of the nucleon: (x, \vec{k}_T)



$$\frac{d\sigma^h}{dx dy d\phi_S dz d\phi d\mathbf{P}_{h\perp}^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & [F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon \cos(2\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)}] \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$+ \lambda_l [\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{LU}^{\sin(\phi)}]$$

$$+ S_L [\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)}]$$

$$+ S_L \lambda_l [\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi)}]$$


$$+ S_T \left[\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\phi - \phi_S)}{\sin(\phi + \phi_S)} \left(F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_S)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_S)} \right) \\ & + \epsilon \sin(\phi + \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_S)} + \sin(3\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_S)} \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(\phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_S)} \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S)} \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{1-\epsilon^2} \cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_S)} \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_S)} \\ & + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi - \phi_S)} \end{aligned} \right] \}$$

		quark		
		U	L	T
n u c l e o n	U	f_1		
	L			
	T	f_{1T}^\perp		

Worm-gear (UL) (Kotzinian-Mulders)


- $\propto h_{1L}^\perp(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^\perp(z, k_T^2)$
- describes the probability to find transversely polarized quarks in a longitudinally polarized nucleon
- accessible in UT measurements through $\sin(2\phi + \phi_S)$ Fourier component



Worm-gear



$$\propto g_{1T}^\perp(x, p_T^2) \otimes D_1(z, k_T^2)$$

- describes the probability to find longitudinally polarized quarks in a transversely polarized nucleon (\rightarrow "trans-helicity")
- accessible in LT DSAs through the leading-twist $\cos(\phi - \phi_S)$ Fourier component






- Luciano Pappalardo-


results on worm-gear DF from HERMES, COMPASS, Hall A



results on worm-gear DF from HERMES, COMPASS, Hall A




Proton





Deuteron


$\Rightarrow \approx 0$




results on worm-gear DF from HERMES, COMPASS, Hall A




all hadrons



Proton




Polarized ${}^3\text{He}$ Target



$p_{hT} (\text{GeV}/c)$

Deuteron



Jin Huang <jinhuang@mit.edu>

